



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/738,366	12/17/2003	Frank Akselberg	MRKS/0132	8553
7590 10/23/2006			EXAMINER	
WILLIAM B. PATTERSON			SMITH, MATTHEW J	
MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P. Suite 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3040 Post Oak Blvd.			3672	
Houston, TX	77056		DATE MAILED: 10/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/738,366	AKSELBERG, FRANK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	Matthew J. Smith	. 3672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover st	neet with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COM 36(a). In no event, however ill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Oc	ctober 2006.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,7,9 and 12-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 7, 9, 12, 14, and 15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the at	ached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	ity documents have	been received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copie	es not received.				
		·				
	,					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		rview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		er No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Oth					
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/738,366

Art Unit: 3672

The amendment filed 11 October 2006 has been entered.

The Final rejection, mailed 11 August 2006, has been withdrawn for a new rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 7, 9, 12, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leismer et al. in view of Kilgore (6651749).

Leismer et al. disclose, in figure 21, control system 248 transmitting the pressure, via flow rate (oscillation), of downhole working fluid 246 to the hydraulic fluid 250 (col. 27, lines 22-67); pressure relief valves 198, 200, 202, 204 each opening at higher pressures (col. 26, lines 60-64); valves provide flow to actuators 252, 254, 256, 258; dividing piston 14 (Fig. 1); throttle valve 18; and the hydraulic fluid and working fluid are at the same pressure (before actuation) but not a booster having the one end's area greater than the other end's area.

Art Unit: 3672

Kilgore presents the area of a dividing piston 10, acted on by the working fluid, larger than the area of the piston acting on the hydraulic fluid so that the pressure of the hydraulic fluid is higher than the pressure of the working fluid; the pressure from the working fluid transmitted to the hydraulic fluid by means of a booster (fig. 1); and the dividing piston forms part of a booster.

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a booster, as presented by Kilgore, in the Leismer et al. system in order to increase pressure sufficient to operate a downhole device without the necessity of increasing tubing pressure (Kilgore, col. 1, line 50-53).

The combination would have been obvious to the method of transmitting pressure of a working fluid to a hydraulic fluid by a dividing piston with the piston having the working fluid side surface area larger than the hydraulic fluid side surface area, also to operate without increasing tubing pressure.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 13 is allowed.

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3672

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11 October 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Upon further review, the examiner contends one of ordinary skill would have incorporated the Kilgore downhole booster structure for the purpose intended, without changing the operation of the Leismer et al. system. The booster transmitting working fluid pressure to the hydraulic fluid is not dependent upon the source of hydraulic fluid. Thus, the combination is considered to sustainable. The examiner apologizes for not advancing prosecution.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-7034. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F, 9-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David J. Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3672

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David Bagne

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3672

MJS 19 October 2006